

IPFW Faculty Senate Library Subcommittee  
September 17, 2010  
3:30 p.m., LB 419  
Minutes

Present: Jeff Abbot, Prasad Bingi, Chao Chen, Adam Coffman, Kathleen Murphey, Suzanne LaVere,  
Donald Linn, Cheryl Truesdell

Guests: Andy Downs, Judith Garrison

- The Subcommittee had three recommendations in our funding concerns report.
  - Inform URPC and Senate to endorse recommendations
  - Have them ask administration to report with plan of action
- URPC sent to Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee
- Became report for information only in Senate
- Update report with new stats and for accuracy – look at report again
- Judith Garrison – Senate representative for library
  - Differentiation over 10,000 FTE
  - Money mentioned in report – contingent on gifts that didn't come through, some people are interpreting document differently, Cheryl was never told they were supposed to be gifts – not allowed to have active campaign without approval, language clarification issue in document, another objective – if we give library more money, less money for faculty
  - Question on use of endowment – is it tied to innovation?
- Ask Jack Dahl to attend a meeting – can advise how to clarify language and how to change report so that it might move forward
- Idea of a student fee to supplement library materials – something along the lines of \$1/credit hour
- Andy Downs – advice on use of parliamentary procedure, etc. to get a better, more complete hearing of funding concerns and recommendation report
  - Report went forward for information only in Senate – what would we want Senate to vote on? The Subcommittee wants them to vote on whether to endorse recommendations and ask the administration to give response for a plan of action.
  - Any Senator can introduce anything at any time – but this might offend URPC.
- Change report and submit to URPC – substantial changes needed in order to make URPC take another look – new report?
- Would have to submit an action item for Senate agenda
- Could introduce under new business – just bring it up
- Purdue and IU had issues with student fees

- Longer term – even without increase in funding, possible political problem with student fee – need to build relationship for longer term when economy improves and there is more money available
- Politically – we should not push a vote now – check feasibility of student fee idea and compare with Purdue and IU
  - Make a stronger case without asking for a vote
  - Submit for information, have document to hand out when people come in to Senate meeting
- General good and welfare – could raise issues then
- When a report is submitted for information only – question during question time could then be answered from the floor
- Worry about Chancellor's opinion toward student fees – student fees coming in could reduce library's operating budget